

Convex sets in Euclidean space

What is a convex set?

Definition. A line segment with endpoints $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set

$$[x, y] := \{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \mid 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}.$$

Definition. A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is *convex* if

$$x, y \in S \implies [x, y] \subseteq S.$$

What makes convex sets cool?

Theorem (Separation). Let $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. There exists a hyperplane H in \mathbb{R}^n that (properly) separates S_1 and S_2 if

(a) S_1 and S_2 are convex, and

(b) $\text{ri}(S_1) \cap \text{ri}(S_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set of finitely many points in the plane, with pairwise distance no greater than 1. Then S is contained in a closed ball of radius no greater than $1/\sqrt{3}$.

Some important principles

Theorem. Let $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i$ is convex.

Proof. If the intersection is empty, or contains exactly one point, then the claim follows immediately from the definition. Suppose otherwise, and let $x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i$. Then for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $x, y \in S_i$ and hence $[x, y] \subseteq S_i$ by the convexity of S_i . Then clearly $[x, y] \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i$, whence the result. \square

Definition. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The *convex hull* of S , denoted by $\text{co}(S)$, is the smallest convex set in \mathbb{R}^n that contains S .

Important results

Theorem (Radon). Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ contain at least $n+2$ elements. Then S can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets C_1 and C_2 , such that $\text{co}(C_1) \cap \text{co}(C_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem (Helly, finite families). Let $\mathcal{F} = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ be a family of $m \geq n+1$ convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n . If every subfamily of $n+1$ sets in \mathcal{F} has nonempty intersection, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By induction on m .

If $m = n + 1$, then there is nothing to prove.

Suppose that $m > n + 1$ and that the result holds for all such families of fewer than m sets. It follows immediately that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \exists x_i \in S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_{i-1} \cap S_{i+1} \cap \dots \cap S_m.$$

Then by application of Radon's theorem to the set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, there exist $C_1, C_2 \subseteq S$ such that $S = C_1 \sqcup C_2$ and $\text{co}(C_1) \cap \text{co}(C_2) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose wlog that

$$C_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m\}$$

for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad x_i &\in S_{k+1} \cap \dots \cap S_m, \text{ and} \\ \forall i \in \{k+1, \dots, m\} \quad x_i &\in S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Given this, and by the above principles, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{co}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &\subseteq S_{k+1} \cap \dots \cap S_m, \text{ and} \\ \text{co}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) &\subseteq S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap \mathcal{F} &= S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_k \cap S_{k+1} \cap \dots \cap S_m \\ &\supseteq \text{co}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap \text{co}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \text{co}(C_1) \cap \text{co}(C_2) \neq \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

Lemma. *A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact if, and only if, every family of closed subsets of S with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection.*

Theorem (Helly). *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of compact, convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n . If every subfamily of $n+1$ sets in \mathcal{F} has nonempty intersection, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Choose some $k \in \mathcal{I}$ arbitrarily such that $S_k \in \mathcal{F}$. Consider the family

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F} \cap S_k = \{S_i \cap S_k \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be a finite subfamily. Then there exists some finite $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \{S_j \cap S_k \mid j \in \mathcal{J}\}$. By the assumption and Helly's theorem,

$$\bigcap \mathcal{H} = \bigcap \{S_j \cap S_k \mid j \in \mathcal{J}\} = \bigcap \{S_j \mid j \in \mathcal{J} \cap \{k\}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

So \mathcal{G} is a family of closed (by the Hahn-Banach theorem) subsets of S_k with the finite intersection property, and therefore by the above Lemma it follows that $\bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \left(\bigcap \mathcal{F} \right) \cap S_k = \bigcap (\mathcal{F} \cap S_k) = \bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Proof of the claim

Theorem (Jung). *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then S is contained in a closed ball of radius $r \leq d \sqrt{\frac{n}{2(n+1)}}$, where $d := \text{diam}(S)$.*

Proof. We split the proof into two cases, depending on the cardinality of S .

($|S| \leq n + 1$). This is mostly a geometric argument.

($|S| > n + 1$). Set $\rho := d \sqrt{\frac{n}{2(n+1)}}$ and consider for each $x \in S$ the closed ball $\bar{B}_\rho(x)$. Let $S' \subseteq S$ contain $n + 1$ points and note that the previous case now applies. Then there exists a $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$S' \subseteq \bar{B}_\rho(z) \iff z \in \bigcap_{x \in S'} \bar{B}_\rho(x).$$

Applying Helly's theorem to the family $\mathcal{F} := \{\bar{B}_\rho(x) \mid x \in S\}$, we conclude that

$$\bigcap_{x \in S} \bar{B}_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset \iff \exists z \in \mathbb{R}^n : S \subseteq \bar{B}_\rho(z),$$

whence the result. □

Setting $d = 1$ and $n = 2$, the above claim now follows immediately from this result.